

Condensed TA Report

POL201Y 2014-15

Karol Czuba

1. The TA stimulates me to think and learn:

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.44
Strongly Disagree - 1	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Disagree - 2	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Neutral - 3	1	11.11	<input type="text"/>
Agree - 4	3	33.33	<input type="text"/>
Strongly Agree - 5	5	55.56	<input type="text"/>

2. The TA knows the material and leads discussions effectively:

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.78
Strongly Disagree - 1	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Disagree - 2	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Neutral - 3	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Agree - 4	2	22.22	<input type="text"/>
Strongly Agree - 5	7	77.78	<input type="text"/>

3. The TA effectively relates the discussion sections to lectures and other aspects of the course:

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.33
Strongly Disagree - 1	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Disagree - 2	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Neutral - 3	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Agree - 4	6	66.67	<input type="text"/>
Strongly Agree - 5	3	33.33	<input type="text"/>

4. The TA effectively lead and focused class discussion:

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.56
Strongly Disagree - 1	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Disagree - 2	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Neutral - 3	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Agree - 4	4	44.44	<input type="text"/>
Strongly Agree - 5	5	55.56	<input type="text"/>

5. The tutorial environment and size was conducive to participation and constructive discussion for students:

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.67
Strongly Disagree - 1	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Disagree - 2	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Neutral - 3	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Agree - 4	3	33.33	<input type="text"/>
Strongly Agree - 5	6	66.67	<input type="text"/>

6. The TA was available to meet with students regarding assignments and provided helpful comments on papers and exams where appropriate:

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.56
Strongly Disagree - 1	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Disagree - 2	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Neutral - 3	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Agree - 4	4	44.44	<input type="text"/>
Strongly Agree - 5	5	55.56	<input type="text"/>

7. How would you rate the overall performance of the TA?:

Response	Frequency	Percent	Mean: 4.67
Poor - 1	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Neutral - 2	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Sufficient - 3	0	0.00	<input type="text"/>
Good - 4	3	33.33	<input type="text"/>
Excellent - 5	6	66.67	<input type="text"/>

Response Report by Item

POL201Y 2014-15

Karol Czuba

Question: 8. What are the strengths and weaknesses of the TA? What suggestions would you make for improving the discussion section?:

Respondent	Response
2	He is extremely helpful and willing to meet with individuals after class to ensure their comprehension.
4	No notable weaknesses. He is extremely cooperative and always accommodates you if you need help. He also explains concepts extremely well.

Question: 9. In your opinion, did the TA welcome alternative viewpoints? Did the TA treat all students fairly? Why do you feel this way?:

Respondent	Response
2	Yes he did, he is very fair and clearly enjoys the subject Math.
4	yes. I feel this way because he tries to encourage students to participate in class and does not put them down for giving an incorrect response.

Question: 10. Would you recommend this TA to other students? Why or why not?:

Respondent	Response
2	yes I definitely would. He is a great TA and is committed to his students.

Question: 10. Would you recommend this TA to other students? Why or why not?:

Respondent	Response
4	yes!! Had a great experience with karol and he has been extremely helpful. He has accomodated me everytime i've asked for help. Thank you!!